

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/674,647	CHOE ET AL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARIANNE L. PADGETT	1792	

All Participants:

(1) MARIANNE L. PADGETT.

(2) Harry Hild.

Date of Interview: 17 December 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Problem noted in advisory

Claims discussed:

31 & 36

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Agreed to delete by examiner's amendment "at least" in claims 31 & 36 analogously to the deletion made in claim 26

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Marianne L. Padgett/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: About 4 p.m.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)